



Modelling Scenarios of Land Use Change

Alessandro Gimona, Marie Castellazzi, Bethany Wilkins, Doug Wardell-Johnson, Mostafa Tavana, Dave Miller, Mike Rivington, Keith Matthews





Land Use Transformations

Climate-Food-Biodiversity-Ecosystem Services

https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/c3d3feff85f14460b6c973127089d6f9

- To achieve policy goals such as Net Zero and biodiversity protection - rural land use must change to reduce net emissions while making space for nature
- Scotland has ambitious nature and climate laws, and policy is being reformed to shape land use change accordingly
- However, the land use system is embedded in the wider society and its future social and economic trajectory



Shared Socioeconomic pathways (SSPs)





Source: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/15/1/3

Intended to span the range of plausible futures (imply land use futures)



seeks **to quantify what needs to change** given the objectives of an SSP to be delivered

UK-SSP1 – Sustainable development

Main features

- National cooperation
- Mostly renewable energy
- Strong support for regionalisation
- Green technology
- Low-consumption lifestyles
- Circular economy
- Public support for pro-environmental policies

Sustainable land-use change





Overview diagram



Mapping the SSP1 Low Emission Scenario for Scotland

The lames Hutton

land use changes biased towards areas with greater multi-benefits :

carbon storage & emission reduction, biodiversity and pollination, diffuse pollution





Simplified Land Use Composition



The James Hutton Institute

Decrease in livestock & CO₂ eq emissions



Can trees offset livestock emissions?

- Total livestock emissions (CO₂ eq) decrease -42% per year by 2050
- Woodlands + Agroforestry, ca 840,000 ha *by 2050*



With immediate **linear decrease (2023-2050)** in livestock, *cumulative* residual emissions would still be some **60 Mtons**.

=> This would need several hundred thousand ha of trees to offset

The more change is delayed, the more trees needed to offset





Conclusions

Our approach allows to:

account for the fact that land use change is likely to be embedded in wider societal evolution

BUT also :

type of change constrained by *detailed* biophysical landscape attributes => from non-spatial to spatial scenario

to do so we can

take in outputs of other models as constraints and opportunities compare ESS-impacts (and trade-offs) of different scenarios

Implications

More operational mitigation objectives; better risk management, resilience and preparation for adaptation





Thanks for your attention

Contact – Alessandro Gimona, The James Hutton Institute, Aberdeen, AB15 8QH, Email – <u>Alessandro.Gimona@hutton.ac.uk</u>

Relevant papers

Gimona, A. McKeen, M., Baggio-Compagnucci, A, Simonetti, E. Pakeman, R. (2023) Complementary effects of biodiversity and ecosystem services on spatial targeting for agri-environment pay ments. Land Use Policy 126, 106532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106532

Baggio-Compagnucci A., Ovando P., Hewitt R.J., Canullo R., Gimona, A. (2022) Barking up the wrong tree? Can forest expansion help meet climate goals? Environmental Science & Policy. vol.136, p.237-249. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2022.05.011</u>.

Research in the RESAS Strategic Research Programme 2022-27, in the Land Use Transformations (C3-JHI-1)

The James Hutton Institute is supported by the Scottish Government's Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division (RESAS)

